



**Re: the 'knucklehead' discussion**  
**From:** "Polat Kaya" <tntr@compmore.net>  
**To:** ganggon@yahoo.com

Tuesday, May 4, 2010 7:36 PM

Dear Mr. Jack Churchward,

Thank you for your e-mail regarding your unpublished blog posting. My comments are as follows:

1. You have written:

*My only interpretation is that Mr. Kaya believes that Turkish people are the original advanced civilization from which all other cultures copied, and that the evidence is in the scrambled words in other languages that have been stolen from the Turkish. Never mind that the spelling of words (in any language) change over time or that the derivation of some words can be traced to other sources, there is no refuting the words or theories of Polat Kaya in his mind. I laud Mr. Kaya's adherence to his beliefs but with all due respect - the random application of the anagramation of words with such a small sample size does not provide conclusive proof of what essentially appears to be a racist viewpoint.*

Polat Kaya: My only concern in this paragraph is your last sentence where you view what I say as a "racist viewpoint". This is wrong and needs to be corrected. I am not a racist person and I have not behaved that way to anyone. In my mind, all human beings are equal - so I resent your labelling my views as "racist" and thereby implying that I am racist. I, therefore, urge you to remove this label and to reconsider your choice of words.

I also want to stress that I am a solo independent researcher with no connections to anyone or any organization - politically or otherwise. I am not sponsored by anyone or by any organization. I do not follow anyone. My writings are original. I do my own research to find the truth about the ancient world. I believe the ancient Turanian civilization was an advanced world wide civilization which has gone through continuous looting by some secretive groups. In that process, most ancient Turanians have been subjected to forceful and manipulated identity change. Their past achievements and civilizations have been misappropriated to others. All of these have been covered up by intentional deceitful writings.

I discovered that many words of the IE and Semitic languages have been manufactured from Turkish words and phrases using a linguistic confusing technique. I am reporting it with examples and with easy to understand terms so that everyone can clearly see what has happened. We have been bombarded (past and present) with mountains of false etymologies and so-called mythological, religious, convoluted, misleading talk that is intended to keep these linguistic alterations from Turkish covered up.

2. You have written:

*"Were Mr. Kaya able to show that a significant number of words in a significant number of languages could be derived in this manner from ancient Turkish from spellings that were originally used when the word was 'stolen' - then maybe he has a point. Until such evidence is produced however, I am unable to regard the anagramation of stolen Turkish words to be credible."*

Polat Kaya: As I have said before, Turkish is a mono-syllabic and agglutinative language where syllables play the most important role in developing the words and phrases that explain very detailed meanings in speech. For Turkish, "spelling" is not a problem as it is for many other languages. The syllables in Turkish are the basic building blocks of the language. Their spelling has not been changed to present times. Therefore, I say that Turkish syllables in the past were very much like their present forms and meanings. Of course, the Turkish language had its dialects, but that does not change the basic building blocks of the language. Turkish has gone through using different ways of writings, but still, they do not change the spelling of the syllable or its sound. In fact, it is probably due to the rigidity of the Turkish language syllables that we have a writing system today. Without the monosyllabic form of Turkish, writing of speech with symbols and alphabetic characters, as used presently, would hardly have been possible.

Thus, the issue of "ancient" or "present day" spelling of Turkish words is not a problem for Turkish. Spelling is only a problem with the inflectional languages such as English.

After having said this, I would also like to bring to your attention that my "Polat Kaya Library", at url [http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/Polat\\_Kaya/](http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/Polat_Kaya/), presently has about 528 articles in it - mostly in English and some in Turkish - that deal with multitudes of deciphered words in diverse fields. On top of these, I have some 40 odd major articles in my web site <http://www.polatkaya.net/Articles.htm>. I also have my earlier writings located at url <http://www.storm.ca/~cm-tntr/>.

I have discussed many words with many people in those communications. In your text for unpublished blog posting, you have shown only one article of mine regarding the word "examine". But yet, there are so many other articles that I have written containing all kinds of deciphered IE words. Thus, I am confident I have more than enough samples to fulfill any test requirements of additional evidence. All you (or any other reader) need to do is to read and inspect them. If you do that, I am sure you will be convinced without any reservations. Since my discovery is new and very much contrary to known beliefs perpetrated by the establishment, I can understand your resistance and hesitation to accept what I am saying.

3. You have written:

*"With the analysis of the word, 'knucklehead' Polat Kaya demonstrates that any word can be scrambled and made to resemble an ancient Turkish word according to the 'Word Detective'. According to the cited document, the word was created in WW2 and I don't think it likely that some GIs thought to steal a word from Turkish after a hard day fighting for their lives. Pardon the pun, but 'knucklehead' was just one example too many."*

Polat Kaya: Your first sentence is wrong! It is contrary to what I am saying or doing. In your paragraph, you have formulated your statement by saying:

*"With the analysis of the word, 'knucklehead' Polat Kaya demonstrates that any word can be scrambled and made to resemble an ancient Turkish word according to the 'Word Detective'.*

First of all, I do not "scramble" IE words to make them resemble Turkish words. On the contrary, I unscramble them to arrive at their Turkish source. In their presents forms, IE and Semitic words are actually scrambled or restructured and disguised Turkish word and phrases - which is why they do not resemble Turkish anymore. What I am saying and demonstrating is that many words of Indo-European and Semitic languages can be shown to have been

restructured (i.e., "anagrammatized") from Turkish words and phrases. I am decoding or deciphering these words to show the original Turkish text that they were made from. So what I say I do and what you describe me as doing - are two different things. You are implying the opposite of what I am doing - therefore, your sentence needs to be corrected.

Secondly, my analysis of the word "knucklehead" has nothing to do with the "Word Detective" - as you wrongly imply (i.e., *according to the "Word Detective"*). Until you mentioned it, I never knew that there was something called "Word Detective". Also, as I stated in my second reply to you, there is no evidence or reason to think that it was the American GIs of WW2 that generated this term - so, I do not understand why you keep bringing up this notion that American GI's generated "knucklehead".

In fact I explained to you that the GIs could not have generated this word because they were fighting for their lives. Only a secret but expert linguistic group could have done this.

4. You have written:

*"While I may have been reading Mr. Kaya's postings, I do not consider myself a follower of his writings."*

Polat Kaya: This is a disclaimer by you which is fine with me. Following or not following my writings is your choice. What I meant when I said that you were a "follower" was that you were a reader of my writings.

5. You have written:

*"I have also provided him the opportunity to examine this presentation before it is published."*

That was very kind of you and I thank you for it. I gave my comments above. With those comments in mind, if you would make changes to your unpublished blog composition, I think you would have a much more accurate posting.

Best wishes to you,

Polat Kaya

04/05/2010

Gangchen Gonpo wrote:

Dear sir,  
I am providing a link to an unpublished blog posting concerning your findings about the word, "knucklehead".

[http://www.my-mu.com/bon/b05-2010\\_2.html](http://www.my-mu.com/bon/b05-2010_2.html)

I welcome your comments.

Jack Churchward  
Clearwater, Florida  
aka Ganggon, Gangchen Gonpo